

# FOR PUBLIC REVIEW

9-24-07  
JFC

Impartial Analysis by District Counsel  
Measure A

Community Facilities District No. 2007-1 (Cement Hill) (hereinafter called the "CFD") was formed by Nevada Irrigation District ("NID") on September 12, 2007. If approved by two-thirds of CFD registered voters voting upon this matter, the CFD will be authorized to do three things:

1. to incur indebtedness not exceeding \$14.8 million;
2. to impose an annual special tax upon non-exempt real property within the CFD; and
3. to establish an appropriations limit equal to \$470,000 for Fiscal Year 2008/09.

The debt, if incurred, would constitute an indebtedness of the CFD. The money raised through the debt incurred would be used to finance the construction of treated water facilities and incidental expenses related thereto in order to serve treated water to the land within the CFD. The construction and ownership of the water facilities would be the responsibility of NID.

The special tax would be for the purpose of paying off the CFD indebtedness. It may be collected annually in the same manner as property taxes unless otherwise determined by NID.

The Fiscal Impact Statement printed in this Pamphlet further describes the debt and the special tax.

Governmental spending is restricted by the California Constitution, which provides for voter approval of an appropriations (i.e. expenditures) limit. This measure would establish the appropriations limit for the CFD equal to \$470,000 for Fiscal Year 2008/09.

The "Resolution of Formation" to which the ballot question refers is that same Resolution elsewhere entitled "A Resolution of the Board of Directors of the Nevada Irrigation District Establishing the Formation of a Community Facilities District."

A "yes" vote on this measure is a vote to approve the indebtedness of the CFD, to approve the special tax, and to approve the establishment of the appropriations limit.

A "no" vote on this measure is a vote against the CFD incurring the indebtedness to NID, against the special tax and against the establishment of the appropriations limit.